UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,886	01/18/2000	Gale E. Smith	674506-2035.2	1236	
	7590 08/26/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	0	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/484,886	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Kailash C. Srivastava	1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE b). contact the petition under 37 CFR 1.13	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate	n. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origin	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: <u>Please see Continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CF4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 132-152. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	•	-
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after en	itry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>Please see Continuatioin Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. ☑ Other: <u>(i) Annotated Newly filed Claim set, (II) Annotated</u>			
/JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1657			

Note for 3 and 11 as follows:

Response and amendment filed 09 August 2010 to the Office Action with Final rejection mailed 12 March 2010 is acknowledged.

Applicants argue that the support for Claims new claims 153-169 is in the substitute specification filed 09 August 2010. Applicants have, however, not provided support for said substitute specification because the priority documents from which the support for said substitute specification is shown were not correctly filed. The claims might have been enterable if the specification was enterable. As discussed below, the specification cannot be entered. Additionally, the newly submitted claims are drawn to a different invention, a method, whereas the original claims were drawn to a composition. The Office does not normally entertain switching inventions after an Office action on the merits has been mailed and especially not this late in prosecution: MPEP 8.19

According to 37 C.F. R. §1.78, the claim for benefit must be filed within the four months from the initial filing date of the Application (See, M.P.E.P. §601.1(p)). Accordingly, the benefit for priority under "continuation in part (i.e., CIP)" has not been granted because said Claim for benefit was filed 4 months after the filing date of the instant U.S. Non-Provisional Application Number: 09/484,886. Furthermore, according to the provisions of 37 C.F. R. §1.78 and M.P.E.P. § 601.1(p) the benefit of priority is granted only to U.S. Provisional Application Serial Number 60/118,816 filed 5 February 1999 and to U.S. Provisional Application Serial Number 60/162,254 filed 29 October 1999. The incorporation by reference benefit has not been granted to following references (See Applicants' Substitute Specification filed 09 August 2010, Page 1, 2nd full paragraph).

"U.S. applications Serial Nos. 08/965,698, filed November 7, 1997, 09/169,178, filed October 8, 1998, 09/372,734, filed August 11, 1999, 09/235,901, filed January 22, 1999, 09/169,027, filed October 9, 1998, 08/120,601, filed September 13, 1993 (allowed), now U.S. Patent No. 5,762,939, 08/453,848, filed May 30, 1995 (allowed), now U.S. Patent No. 5,858,368, 09/111,169, filed July 7, 1998, and 08/430,971, filed April 28, 1995 (allowed; U.S. Patent No. 5,976,552 issuing on November 2, 1999),".

In absence of clear support of incorporation by reference, it is difficult to determine whether the substitute specification filed 09 August 2010 as amended is appropriate. Accordingly, the Examiner cannot answer and verify the lengthy substitute specification filed 09 August 2010 in relation to any "new matter" that might have been incorporated, or "lack of written description" in the length substitute specification filed after close of prosecution on 09 August 2010. Since the substitute specification can not be verified, the support for newly presented Claims 153-169 can also not be verified and any opinion presented regarding patentability of said Claims or discussion on the rejections made in the Office Action with Final Rejection mailed 12 March 2010 will be pre-mature.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-0923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached at (571)-272-0925 Monday through Thursday 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (i.e., PAIR) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (i.e., EBC) at: (866)-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kailash C. Srivastava Patent Examiner Art Unit 1657 (571) 272-0923